

---

Subject: 3b2 performance.

Posted by [MARK\[1\]\[2\]\[3\]](#) on Fri, 24 May 2013 01:29:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Message-ID:

Date: Sun, 17-Jun-84 19:27:22 EDT

Article-I.D.: umcp-cs.7526

Posted: Sun Jun 17 19:27:22 1984

Date-Received: Wed, 20-Jun-84 01:06:01 EDT

References: ,

Organization: Univ. of Maryland, Computer Science Dept.

Lines: 8

Xref: 278 796 1881

At a utah usenix talk by someone from AIM (of the benchmark), the 3b2 was rated at .4 of a Sun on a general benchmark mix.

And not even virtual memory. (Well, maybe the hardware has it, but this is system V folks).

--

Spoken: Mark Weiser ARPA: mark@maryland

CSNet: mark@umcp-cs UUCP: {seismo,allegra}!umcp-cs!mark

---

Subject: Re: 3b2 performance.

Posted by [ron@brl-vgr.ARPA \(Ron\)](#) on Fri, 24 May 2013 01:29:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Message-ID:

Date: Mon, 18-Jun-84 23:37:09 EDT

Article-I.D.: brl-vgr.3501

Posted: Mon Jun 18 23:37:09 1984

Date-Received: Thu, 21-Jun-84 04:30:16 EDT

References: ,

Organization: Ballistics Research Lab

Lines: 4

Yes, but don't expect too much for scientific use. The 3B2 only does about 100 FLOPS. Switching systems don't need floating point.

-Ron

---