Subject: Re: Software Piracy

Posted by rbt on Mon, 08 Apr 1985 16:35:18 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Article-I.D.: sftig.510

Posted: Mon Apr 8 11:35:18 1985

Date-Received: Tue, 9-Apr-85 20:01:17 EST

References:

Organization: AT&T Bell Laboratories, Summit, NJ

Lines: 54

Xref: watmath net.games:1802 net.micro.apple:1868 net.micro:9971

- > I read in a current medical journal (my wife is a doctor) that someone
- > had come up with a clever solution to piracy... They give out software for
- > free, and urge that it be distributed.. The rider is that the software
- > contains a header notice inviting all users to contribute to the development
- > of additional software (if they liked the product) by making a charitable
- > donation to the developers of the software...
- > Frankly, I strongly suspect that most receivers of under-the-counter software
- > would be glad to contribute to the developers but don't have any reasonable
- > way of doing it. However, most people hate parting with cash too....
- > Overall, this seems like a risky venture but probably no riskier than any other
- > distribution method. As said earlier I market bridge and cribbage software
- > for the P.C. and my advertising is current over \$1,000 for 7 small lines in
- > the blue book of P.C. for 6 issues.. That alone has put me out of business
- > since sales are at around 100 copies.
- > If anyone likes the above copy-protection idea send mail to me..
- > I don't read net.games as much as I should.... all work and no play...

To: watmath!watdaisy!ijdavis Subject: Re: Software Piracy In-reply-to: your article

The distribution technique you describe is sometimes called 'share-ware' and I have seen a few things distributed that way. I like the idea very much.

Usually, the appeal for contributions includes a promise of some kind of support for those who send in their money. To further sweeten the pot, I have heard of at a variation on the 'support' theme in which you send in your money along with a copy of the diskette you are using. The author will send it back with the 'latest' version and a 'unique serial number' electronically encoded. (A time and date stamp would do fine for a serial number). The person who owns the serial number on the disk you sent in then gets a cut from your contribution. Anybody you give a copy of your own diskette to who sends in his contribution will wind up paying you a 'sales commission' just like you paid the person who owned the copy you sent in. The beauty of this scheme is that pirates make \*no\* money from it. They actually wind up \*helping\* the

authors and legitimate users to get their fair share by providing the widest possible distribution.

The biggest problem (assuming that you have a quality product, that will sell itself to anyone who gets to play with it for a while.) is getting a wide enough initial distribution.

Net.sources doesn't seem to be the ideal method (very likely flames from people on the net about commercial use of the medium, etc.) Maybe a short note in net.general and net.wanted describing the 'product' and offering to send a (free) copy of your shareware for evaluation to people who mail you a stamped self addressed floppy.

The users on other bulletin board systems may not be so touchy as the netnews folks. Maybe the 'private' BBS's are the right way to get something like this started.

Rick Thomas ihnp4!attunix!rbt

Subject: Software Piracy

Posted by bsmith on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:18:03 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Sun, 25-Mar-84 23:04:01 EST

Article-I.D.: mprvaxa.504

Posted: Sun Mar 25 23:04:01 1984

Date-Received: Wed, 28-Mar-84 00:45:22 EST Organization: Microtel Pacific Research, Burnaby BC

Lines: 33

I am probably going to catch sh\*t for this, but I'm getting tired of people making statements like "The microcomputer software industry is losing billions of dollars a year due to software piracy." These statements are usually based on the assumption that if N pirate copies of a program are made, then that means a loss of N sales. This is simply not true. Much of the piracy is by hobbyists with limited budgets. In many cases, the hobbyist would not buy the pirated program in the first place.

So, while I am sure that sales are lost due to piracy, I am equally sure that the amount is far less than some would have us believe.

What is my solution to the problem? Reduce the prices to the level where the average user is willing to pay to get an original diskette, instructions, and package. For games, I figure this to be about ten or fifteen bucks. For other types of programs? Well, Turbo Pascal might be a good example.

Protection schemes don't work. No matter how clever you are in protecting your software, there is someone equally clever who will figure out how to copy it.

Footnote: A friend of mine wrote and markets a spelling checker for the TRS-80. He occasionally gets calls that go something like: "I've been using your program and I think it's really great, so I'd like to buy a copy."

Brian Smith (mprvaxa!bsmith)
Microtel Pacific Research

(The opinions expressed in this article are not necessarily those of any real person, living or dead.)

Subject: Re: Software Piracy

Posted by Ken[1][2][3] on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:18:04 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Tue, 27-Mar-84 10:29:13 EST

Article-I.D.: ihuxq.804

Posted: Tue Mar 27 10:29:13 1984

Date-Received: Wed, 28-Mar-84 01:20:44 EST

References:

Organization: AT&T Bell Labs, Naperville, IL

Lines: 22

>>> ... I'm getting tired of

>>> people making statements like "The microcomputer software industry is

>>> losing billions of dollars a year due to software piracy." These

>>> statements are usually based on the assumption that if N pirate copies

>>> of a program are made, then that means a loss of N sales. This is

>>> simply not true.

I agree. Good software can stand the free advertising. Indeed, I know

folks who have pirated software and liked it so much they bought their own copies. The rationale: "Let's keep a good company in business." Also, they were able to get updated versions, all documentation, and plenty of help from a phone call, things not available when you pirate programs. Writers of quality software should understand that one can steal their code but not their reputation.

--

JE MAINTIENDRAI \*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*

ken perlow \*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*
(312)979-7261 \*\* \*\* \*\*
..ihnp4!ihuxq!ken \*\*\* \*\*\*

Subject: Re: Software Piracy

Posted by ignatz on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:18:08 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Wed, 28-Mar-84 13:33:46 EST

Article-I.D.: ihuxx.704

Posted: Wed Mar 28 13:33:46 1984

Date-Received: Fri, 30-Mar-84 00:15:08 EST

References:

Organization: AT&T Bell Labs, Naperville, IL

Lines: 51

This is a very sore point with me. I \*will not\* steal software--and Ghod knows of the temptations in this business, with wildly over-inflated prices and poor customer relations. But some people are starting to operate quite intelligently; here are some real-life, current examples:

MicroSolutions, of DeKalb, IL markets a program called Uniform. It's a CP/M program to provide diskette formatting and interchange capabilities for a wide range of computers. Originally marketed at \$49.95, the price was perfect; but it 'featured' an elaborate copy protection scheme that rendered the master copy good ONLY for making two (count 'em--2) copies of the program. These diskettes could not be copied, and the master couldn't be executed. If those disks ever went bad, you had to send in the master to be re-genned, for a \$10.00 fee. This led to two things--first, my losing a night of sleep to figure out their scheme (I did), and secondly, scads of complaints to MicroSolutions. In an amazing burst of reason, they re-issued an

improved version of the program, without the obnoxious copy protection. (Unfortunately, shortly after the re-release the price climbed to \$69.95, but it's still just within the reach of a reasonable price.)

J. G. Communications, of Tucson, AZ markets a hardware real-time clock for the Osborne 1; along with the hardware is a decent set of utility programs. They will sell the source to these programs--on a floppy, with documentation--for \$12.00; the object comes free with the clock. Rationale? As the author told me, "They can have the source. I'll have something better out before they can." Makes bug fixes and reports much easier.

I'm afraid I'll have to withhold the name of the company, and the product, for this last one; I haven't asked the person involved if they want full details popularly known on this deal. But suffice to say that the author of a quite popular 'C' compiler, who is a friend of mine, discovered that I am doing some work on a Zenith Z-100, but the people who bought the machine aren't going to shell out the \$300-\$400 such a compiler costs. He \*gave\* me a current copy of his system, with only the constraint that I not further distribute it. Purpose? He wants me to work with it, get to know it, and recommend it. (I just don't know if he wants people to know about the freebie.) If this product ran on my z80-based machine, you'd better damn well believe \*I'd\* shell out the cash, after working with it; and at least one client has ordered the system, because of my recommendation.

Purpose of this (much longer than I intended) article? To show that all is not darkness on the software market, and, frankly, to spread the name of those companies that are making some effort to work with consumers, instead of against them.

Dave Ihnat ihuxx!ignatz

Subject: re: software piracy

Posted by mckeeman on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:18:10 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Wed, 28-Mar-84 18:03:33 EST

Article-I.D.: wivax.19338

Posted: Wed Mar 28 18:03:33 1984

Date-Received: Fri, 30-Mar-84 02:15:32 EST

Sender: mckeeman@wivax.UUCP

Organization: Wang Institute, Tyngsboro, Ma. 01879

Lines: 22

There is little disagreement that using software that is for sale and for which you have not paid is wrong. So is making a copy of an article out of BYTE. The most effective solution I have seen is software that announces its ownership and how to pay for it, and where to get the documentation. If the software is real cheap (\$15+costs) most folks will prefer to pay to have a clear conscience. The documentation is much easier to protect -- print it in a xerox-combatting color or size. And charge more for it. I paid \$60 for the IBM DOS manual and to my surprise found a DOS-floppy in it. The folks who are trying to follow the American dream and get rich (not the poor little fellow hunched over his ADM3) will have to learn to protect their products. It is illegal to rob a bank. It is also hard and dangerous. You also do not brag about it in public.

(FLAME ON)

Let's hear more inventiveness and less whining on this issue.

(FLAME OFF)

Bill McKeeman Wang Institute ...decvax!wivax!mckeeman

Subject: re: software piracy

Posted by 2141smh on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:18:15 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Fri, 30-Mar-84 07:35:13 EST

Article-I.D.: aluxe.1405

Posted: Fri Mar 30 07:35:13 1984

Date-Received: Sat, 31-Mar-84 07:28:11 EST

References:

Organization: AT&T Bell Laboratories, Allentown, PA

Lines: 4

Correction: It is not illegal to copy an article out of Byte if you bought the magazine and only you use the copy. That is "Fair Use".

Of course that is true of software.

Subject: Re: Software Piracy

Posted by Andrew[1][2][3][4] on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:18:20 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Fri, 30-Mar-84 12:40:28 EST

Article-I.D.: orca.744

Posted: Fri Mar 30 12:40:28 1984

Date-Received: Sun, 1-Apr-84 07:07:26 EST

References:

Organization: Tektronix, Wilsonville OR

Lines: 56

I'd like to demonstrate how some recent comments defending software piracy can be applied to another industry.

"I'm getting tired of people making statements like "The microcomputer software industry is losing billions of dollars a year due to software piracy." These statements are usually based on the assumption that if N pirate copies of a program are made, then that means a loss of N sales. This is simply not true. Much of the piracy is by hobbyists with limited budgets. In many cases, the hobbyist would not buy the pirated program in the first place."

Ditto for automobile theft, where people assume that N car thefts imply a loss of N car sales. Also not true. Much car theft is by hobbyists with limited budgets. In many cases, the hobbyist would not buy the stolen car in the first place.

"So, while I am sure that sales are lost due to piracy, I am equally sure that the amount is far less than some would have us believe."

And no doubt some car sales are lost due to theft, but I'm quite sure that the amount is far less than some would have us believe.

"What is my solution to the problem? Reduce the prices to the level where the average user is willing to pay to get an original diskette, instructions, and package. For games, I figure this to be about ten or fifteen bucks. For other types of programs? Well, Turbo Pascal might be a good example."

And I think the solution to the car theft problem is to reduce car prices to the level where the average driver is willing to pay to get a new car and owner's manual. For Hondas, I figure this to be about four hundred dollars. For other types of cars? Well, Army surplus jeeps might be a good example.

"Protection schemes don't work. No matter how clever you are in protecting your software, there is someone equally clever who will figure out how to copy it."

Auto ripoff protection schemes don't work. No matter how clever you are in locking and anti-burglarizing your car, there is someone equally clever who will figure out how to steal it.

-----

My point is that it is a fallacy to claim that software piracy is somehow okay because the evil software vendors overcharge or the poor hobbyists can't afford to buy all the wonderful software. These issues are not relevant to the question of right or wrong.

Using someone's software without permission is stealing. A person who does this is a thief. It's as simple as that.

-- Andrew Klossner (decvax!tektronix!orca!andrew) [UUCP] (orca!andrew.tektronix@rand-relay) [ARPA]

Subject: Re: Software Piracy

Posted by els on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:18:24 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Sat, 31-Mar-84 15:41:46 EST

Article-I.D.: pur-phy.1272

Posted: Sat Mar 31 15:41:46 1984

Date-Received: Sun, 1-Apr-84 07:47:35 EST

References:,

Organization: Purdue University Physics Dept.

Lines: 46

I really wish people would admit/signify that certain thoughts about this issue are a matter of their personal ethics. To some, piracy = theft. If you feel that way fine, but that doesn't make

it true! PERSONALLY, I equate this issue with that of the people who have been sued for building antennas and converters for HBO transmissions. If a transmission is available for me to pick up, then I'll pick it up when ever I damn well please. If HBO doesn't like it then they should encode their transmission in a manner that makes it somehow easier for me to rent the equipment from them, than it is for me to do it myself. Similarly, software houses should put something into the program to make it difficult to copy. If I would have to spend weeks trying to hack out a copy of some program, I'll probably just say the hell with it and go out and buy it.

To put in plainer terms, if there has been no effort made to protect the software, then making a copy is just like picking up a \$20 bill off of the street; i.e. the former owner was careless with it, so tough!

The big exception, of course, is with licenced software. By copying this stuff, you help your friend to break a formal contract. On top of the legality problems, the person who loaned you the software has proven that his word is worthless! 'nuff said.

All I can say about the small one-person software producers, is that they can use their modems and band together.

P.S. I fully expect flames about this, but I'd rather hear (and might even enjoy) some thoughtful criticism.

(Hopefully, the above line will douse most of those who type without thinking.)

Writing cause I got work, hanging by my bruised ,bleeding and mangled thumbs at the off-the-wall teddy bear keyboard of

ERIC STROBEL

decvax!pur-ee!Physics:els

Subject: Re: Software Piracy

## Posted by alan on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:18:26 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Sat, 31-Mar-84 22:35:31 EST

Article-I.D.: allegra.2372

Posted: Sat Mar 31 22:35:31 1984

Date-Received: Sun, 1-Apr-84 08:31:04 EST

References:,,

Organization: AT&T Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill

Lines: 28

П

... If a transmission is available for me to pick up, then I'll pick it up when ever I damn well please. If HBO doesn't like it then they should encode their transmission in a manner that makes it somehow easier for me to rent the equipment from them, than it is for me to do it myself...

... if there has been no effort made to protect the software, then making a copy is just like picking up a \$20 bill off of the street; i.e. the former owner was careless with it, so tough...

Hmm... I pay for HBO, and the last time I found a bill on the ground, I found out who it belonged to and returned it. Maybe Eric will think I'm a bit strange, but that's ok, because I think he's a putz.

... certain thoughts about this issue are a matter of their personal ethics...

Personal ethics? What the hell does this guy know about personal ethics?

--

Alan S. Driscoll AT&T Bell Laboratories

Subject: Re: Software Piracy

Posted by faustus on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:18:29 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Sun, 1-Apr-84 12:55:12 EST

Article-I.D.: ucbvax.48

Posted: Sun Apr 1 12:55:12 1984

Date-Received: Tue, 3-Apr-84 20:15:13 EST

References:

Organization: U.C. Berkeley

Lines: 19

Andrew Klossner's argument that stealing cars is like stealing software would be quite correct if you could stick your car into your garage, press a button, and make a duplicate car, and in fact a lot of people did favors for their friends by making them cars like this. If this happened I would say that the automobile industry was in a lot of trouble. Nobody would think of making it illegal to do this, though (except those who believe in things like farm price supports). Clearly when a software product comes out, a certain number of people are going to buy the thing, and then a certain number of additional people are going to get copies from them. Software companies should expect this and market their products accordingly. For the government to support those who cannot write good software and would not survive without the "protection" of the copywrite laws is like paying farmers to destroy their crops to keep food prices high...

Wayne Christopher

Subject: Re: Software Piracy

Posted by mmr on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:18:32 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Mon, 2-Apr-84 04:00:23 EST

Article-I.D.: utmbvax.206

Posted: Mon Apr 2 04:00:23 1984

Date-Received: Wed, 4-Apr-84 03:26:51 EST

References: , , ,

Organization: U TX Medical Branch @ Galveston

Lines: 18

> Has anyone have to wait 2 or 3 (or more weeks) because your legitimate copy

> of XXXX did not boot and you did not have a backup copy because it was

- > protected?
- > When this does happen to you then you will consider "illegal" backups
- > legal.

No, I've never had that problem because I refuse to purchase any copy protected software.

I don't think many of those who've been flaming about stealing software consider backups illegal, no matter what the licensing agreement. I'd consider that fair use. It's unfortunate that publishers feel they have to resort to copy protection schemes to protect themselves. To my mind, that makes the software worthless. Well, maybe they're just being shrewd. Making something worthless should reduce theft quite a bit.

Mike Rubenstein, OACB, UT Medical Branch, Galveston TX 77550

Subject: re: software piracy

Posted by robert on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:18:33 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Sun, 1-Apr-84 15:28:15 EST

Article-I.D.: erix.320

Posted: Sun Apr 1 15:28:15 1984

Date-Received: Wed, 4-Apr-84 07:47:32 EST

References:

Organization: L M Ericsson, Stockholm, Sweden

Lines: 15

>> (FLAME ON)

>> Let's hear more inventiveness and less whining on this

>> issue.

>> (FLAME OFF)

>>

>> Bill McKeeman Wang Institute ...decvax!wivax!mckeeman

More inventiveness in what? How to steal software?

If the methods (of stealing) were spread, or perhaps the products, then there would be less whining on this issue. N'est-ce pas? :-)

**Robert Virding** 

Subject: Re: Software Piracy

Posted by alan on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:18:33 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Mon, 2-Apr-84 13:26:48 EST

Article-I.D.: allegra.2375

Posted: Mon Apr 2 13:26:48 1984

Date-Received: Wed, 4-Apr-84 07:49:12 EST

References: , , , ,

Subject: Re: Software Piracy

Posted by sleat on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:18:35 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Mon, 2-Apr-84 17:41:39 EST

Article-I.D.: aat.288

Posted: Mon Apr 2 17:41:39 1984

Date-Received: Wed, 4-Apr-84 07:57:03 EST

References:,

Organization: Ann Arbor Terminals

Lines: 21

Andrew Klossner posts an analogy between stealing software and stealing a car. All analogies have their fallacies, but this analogy is particularly bad. It would perhaps have been better (if more abstract) had he phrased it in terms of making an instantaneous copy of the car, rather than removing the physical object itself.

With his analogy he obscures the central dilemma of the issue, namely that there is a fundamental difference between stealing a physical object and stealing information. Whereas it is his right to equate the two on a moral level, attempting to equate the two on the physical level helps neither his case nor any resolution of the social issue.

Were the situation as simple as he indicates, this discussion would not be taking place. It is certainly his perogative to issue his own moral admonishments, but I find it offensive to have such admonishments couched in terms of faulty reasoning.

Michael Sleator
Ann Arbor Terminals
{mb2c|cbosgd|uofm-cv|psu-cs}!aat!sleat

Subject: Re: Software piracy

Posted by binder on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:18:38 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Wed, 4-Apr-84 08:49:49 EST

Article-I.D.: decwrl.6787

Posted: Wed Apr 4 08:49:49 1984

Date-Received: Thu, 5-Apr-84 01:46:42 EST Organization: DEC Engineering Network

Lines: 35

- > The answer to the current problem of piracy is very simple.
- > Allow customers to copy all the software they want. Then have
- > the new owners send in a \$5-\$10 dollar payment to the author.
- > Also why not sell these newly registered owners the documentation
- > for a reasonable cost (1 to 20 dollars).
- > ihuxf!bryan
- > Bryan DeLaney

Finally, someone comes up with the right idea. But you aren't the first - I have an Apple ][+, and I am using Diversi-DOS, put out by DSR, Inc. When you boot up the Diversi-DOS master disk, you see a screen full of text, of which the first line reads:

## PLEASE COPY THIS DISK AND GIVE IT TO EVERYONE YOU KNOW!!

Further words explain that, if you are the recipient of such a copy, it's illegal to use the program without paying for it, and that the price is \$30.00, please mail to . Doing so will get you on the list for updates and telephone hot line assistance, etc.

The kicker is the line that reads, "YOUR HONESTY WILL HELP US TO DISTRIBUTE FUTURE PROGRAMS IN THIS SAME LOW COST WAY."

I dunno about you people out there in netland, but I got my copy by

sending in \$30.00 after I was given a disk with just the DOS and that message on it. Best investment I ever made.

Cheers,

Dick Binder decvax!decwrl!rhea!dosadi!binder

Posted Wednesday 4th April 1984, 08:49 Eastern time by DOSADI::BINDER

Subject: Re: Software Piracy

Posted by canas on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:18:39 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Mon, 2-Apr-84 03:06:39 EST

Article-I.D.: ut-sally.1683

Posted: Mon Apr 2 03:06:39 1984

Date-Received: Thu, 5-Apr-84 03:13:13 EST

References:,,,

Organization: U. Texas CS Dept., Austin, Texas

Lines: 10

а

Has anyone have to wait 2 or 3 (or more weeks) because your legitimate copy of XXXX did not boot and you did not have a backup copy because it was protected?

When this does happen to you then you will consider "illegal" backups legal.

--

Daniel Canas, Computer Sciences Dept. University of Texas at Austin, {ihnp4,kpno,ut-ngp}!ut-sally!canas

Subject: Re: Software Piracy

Posted by marc on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:18:43 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Wed, 4-Apr-84 01:50:36 EST

Article-I.D.: aat.289

Posted: Wed Apr 4 01:50:36 1984

Date-Received: Sat, 7-Apr-84 01:47:49 EST

References: , , ,

Organization: Ann Arbor Terminals

Lines: 4

Speaking of ethics, trust, maturity, etc., I was very impressed last time I was in Windsor to see newspaper racks on the street where the papers were on an open stand with an attached coin box. You know, "honor system".... Think you'd ever see this in the U.S.?

Subject: Re: Software Piracy

Posted by trb on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:18:45 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Thu, 5-Apr-84 12:49:30 EST

Article-I.D.: masscomp.240

Posted: Thu Apr 5 12:49:30 1984

Date-Received: Sat, 7-Apr-84 02:58:13 EST

References: , , ,

Organization: MASSCOMP, Littleton, MA

Lines: 16

## aat!marc notes:

Speaking of ethics, trust, maturity, etc., I was very impressed last time I was in Windsor to see newspaper racks on the street where the papers were on an open stand with an attached coin box. You know, "honor system".... Think you'd ever see this in the U.S.?

The Chase Farms cider mill in Littleton, MA (right next to the Masscomp building we were in until last November, when we moved a mile down the road to Westford) has an unattended refrigerator with fresh cider in it, a price list, a locked wooden box for putting your paper money in, and an adequate supply of loose change sitting in a tray. You takes your cider, you pays your money. Right here in the U.S. Right now.

Andy Tannenbaum Masscomp Inc Westford MA (617) 692-6200 x274

Subject: Re: re: Software Piracy

Posted by wjb on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:18:46 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Tue, 3-Apr-84 11:55:56 EST

Article-I.D.: burl.427

Posted: Tue Apr 3 11:55:56 1984

Date-Received: Sat, 7-Apr-84 03:24:50 EST Organization: AT&T Technologies; Burlington, NC

Lines: 15

--

Allow me partially disclaim my earlier flame. There are two reasons why pirates will not send in reimbursement:

- 1) They may not know where to send the money.
- 2) They may fear that such a donation could open them up to litigation. In fact, I'm sure that it would.

So, given that the pirate stole initially, it is not surprising that the pirate would decline initiative to reimburse. I'll still stand by what I said about the flimsiness of rationalization, though.

\_\_

--Bill Buie

Subject: Re: Software Piracy

Posted by wjb on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:18:46 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Tue, 3-Apr-84 11:33:40 EST

Article-I.D.: burl.426

Posted: Tue Apr 3 11:33:40 1984

Date-Received: Sat, 7-Apr-84 03:24:36 EST

References:

Organization: AT&T Technologies; Burlington, NC

Lines: 19

--

>The answer to the current problem of piracy is very simple.

>Allow customers to copy all the software they want. Then have >the new owners send in a \$5-\$10 dollar payment to the author.

>Also why not sell these newly registered owners the documentation >for a reasonable cost (1 to 20 dollars).

The answer to the current problem is \*NOT\* that simple. People who rationalize that it's moral to steal that which belongs to another person ultimately are going to pick another rationalization to steal when the old one becomes obsolete.

To put it another way, I really do doubt that a substantial number of pirates out there are going to send in money that they don't have to. Why aren't they sending it in now?

--Bill Buie

Subject: Re: re: Software Piracy

Posted by Ken[1][2][3] on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:18:47 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Thu, 12-Apr-84 00:25:49 EST

Article-I.D.: ihuxq.837

Posted: Thu Apr 12 00:25:49 1984

Date-Received: Sat, 7-Apr-84 03:32:39 EST

References:

Organization: AT&T Bell Labs, Naperville, IL

Lines: 33

--

You can call it stealing, as the law does, but that does not make it immoral. Is sex between unmarried consenting adults immoral? In most states it's sure as hell illegal. I don't condone the copying of software you're asked not to, but the issue is a real hard one. When you engage in that activity, you have gained something, but all the other person has lost (\*NOT\* like a car or jewelry, analogies that have been proposed) is a potential market. Most who buy Illinois lottery tickets lose a potential fortune every day, but somehow the state will not believe they've been ripped off.

The laws on software piracy exist to make it easier for software producers to make a profit. That is neither good nor bad--that's

how America works. Parts of the tax code do the same for other industries. To say "you broke the law, so you are a bad person" is to hide behind a very artificial distinction. Laws on software piracy have nothing to do with morality. I believe there is a tenet in law called "status to sue." If you violate my civil rights, say by illegal search and seizure--a 4th Amendment proscription, but I can't show I've been damaged, I can't sue you. No damage, no wrong. Your action will possibly inconvenience me, perhaps embarrass me, but if I can't peg that to real suffering I have no case. So one solution (to which I have no attachment) is for aggrieved software writers, on a case by case basis, to have to prove damages. The principle of "de minimis" (the law does not bother with trifles, which is why no one ever sues for \$1.50) should also apply. Comments?

JE MAINTIENDRAI

05 Apr 84 [16 Germinal An CXCII]

ken perlow (312)979-7261 ..ihnp4!ihuxq!ken

Subject: Re: Software Piracy

Posted by kalm on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:18:47 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Thu, 5-Apr-84 16:31:45 EST

Article-I.D.: ihuxw.780

Posted: Thu Apr 5 16:31:45 1984

Date-Received: Sat, 7-Apr-84 03:45:10 EST

References: , , , ,

Organization: AT&T Bell Labs, Naperville, IL

Lines: 11

- > Speaking of ethics, trust, maturity, etc., I was very impressed last time
- > I was in Windsor to see newspaper racks on the street where the papers
- > were on an open stand with an attached coin box. You know, "honor system"....
- > Think you'd ever see this in the U.S.?

We USED to!!!

Jim Kalmadge - AT&T Bell Labs IX 1c415 8-367-0475 (312) 979-0475

Subject: Re: Software Piracy

Posted by sleat on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:18:50 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Thu, 5-Apr-84 12:21:08 EST

Article-I.D.: aat.291

Posted: Thu Apr 5 12:21:08 1984

Date-Received: Sat, 7-Apr-84 05:30:15 EST

References:

Organization: Ann Arbor Terminals

Lines: 18

Wayne Christopher says in regard to duplicating cars, "Nobody would think of making it illegal to do this". I beg to differ.

There is first of all the question of patents. If one refrained from selling the duplicates, perhaps one would not be in violation of the laws regarding patented components of the car. I don't know very much about patent law, so I cannot speak to this point byond simply raising it.

More to the point though, is the matter of copyright. I haven't heard of any car manufacturers copyrighting body designs, etc, but I see no reason why such protection shouldn't be extended to them. I believe I've seen copyright protection applied in much more absurd cases than this.

Michael Sleator Ann Arbor Terminals {cbosgd|mb2c|uofm-cv|psu-cs}!aat!sleat

Subject: Re: Software Piracy

Posted by rehmi on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:18:51 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Fri, 6-Apr-84 10:02:42 EST

Article-I.D.: umcp-cs.6447

Posted: Fri Apr 6 10:02:42 1984

Date-Received: Sat, 7-Apr-84 05:53:33 EST

References:

Organization: Univ. of Maryland, Computer Science Dept.

Lines: 14

> mprvaxa!bsmith:

>

- > Apple is fighting clone machines on every side while no-one is cloning
- > Commodore 64's. The main reason for this is the Apple is priced much
- > higher than it has any right to be. (The development costs were probably
- > paid for by the first ten sales; the garage was paid for by the next ten)

Actually, the development costs were paid by HP... Wozniak designed the thing for them and they didn't like it. The garage might have already been paid for; who knows what financial state he was in?

--

Uucp: ..!seismo!umcp-cs!rehmi By the fork, spoon, and exec CsNet: rehmi.umcp-cs@csnet-relay of Khron, Kernel ContreMain, ArpaNet: rehmi@maryland Earl of Tetravale & Tumbolia.

Subject: Re: Software Piracy

Posted by jr on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:18:53 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Fri, 6-Apr-84 17:33:22 EST

Article-I.D.: fortune.2978

Posted: Fri Apr 6 17:33:22 1984

Date-Received: Sun, 8-Apr-84 01:15:42 EST

References:

Organization: Fortune Systems, Redwood City, CA

Lines: 16

Re: backups vs. protected software

On some systems (e.g. the various Fortune UNIX-based systems), it is possible to have protected software that can be backed up. Unfortunately, it requires that the original (and the copies) can somehow be "serialized" to a given machine, maybe via a serial number in a ROM of some sort. I realize that this isn't applicable to all systems, but it is a possibility.

Didn't I hear something (a year ago?) about one or more chip manafacturers

offering CPU chips that had serial numbers in them? (SEEQ is probably working on something along those lines, I would guess).

See ya!

--

JR (John Rogers)

UUCP: {ihnp4,cbosgd,ucbvax!amd70}fortune!jr

Subject: Re: Software Piracy

Posted by jbn on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:18:57 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Thu, 5-Apr-84 17:16:46 EST

Article-I.D.: wdl1.188

Posted: Thu Apr 5 17:16:46 1984

Date-Received: Mon, 9-Apr-84 05:31:37 EST

Lines: 9

Piracy is a real problem for the high-cost business package.

One big plus is that at the high end, users expect support, and when someone calls in asking for help, one has a chance to find out if he is legit or not by asking for the serial number of his package. Once you find someone with an unauthorized copy, dealing with them is a problem, but with some of the new anti-piracy laws, putting them behind bars is getting easier. It's a hassle getting them prosecuted, but it's amazing how the word gets around that you don't tolerate piracy once you get somebody jailed.

Subject: Re: Software Piracy

Posted by Richard[1][2][3][4&#93 on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:18:57 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Sat, 7-Apr-84 15:59:45 EST

Article-I.D.: sequent.450

Posted: Sat Apr 7 15:59:45 1984

Date-Received: Mon, 9-Apr-84 05:46:00 EST

References:

Organization: Sequent Computer Systems, Portland

Lines: 6

I'd love to be able to "back up" my car, even if the purpose wasn't for sales. You know, just in case the first one "crashed" or developed format problems. Right.

from the confused and bleeding fingertips of ...!sequent!richard

Subject: Re: Software Piracy

Posted by ok on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:18:58 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Fri, 13-Apr-84 18:40:37 EST

Article-I.D.: edai.4118

Posted: Fri Apr 13 18:40:37 1984

Date-Received: Mon, 9-Apr-84 06:09:14 EST

Organization: Art.Intelligence,Edin.Univ.

Lines: 27

If people make backup copies, that doesn't represent lost sales. If they give copies to their friends, that does represent lost sales. What we'd like is a method for discouraging the latter but not the former. Doesn't matter if it doesn't stop ALL thefts, nothing's going to do that, all the method has to do is persaude some of the morally shaky purchasers that piracy isn't prudent. So how about this:

- 1) Distribute object code.
- 2) Include 4 bytes in each program which serve as a (possibly encoded, say 1/256th of the numbers are valid) serial number.
- 3) In return for his \$30, the purchaser obtains the right to make any number of copies for his own use, but he promises that in the event of anyone else being found to have a copy of his copy, he will pay the author \$100 per stolen copy, up to a maximum of \$2000. (These figures as guesses.) He further promises to pay a similar sum for each copy in his possession which does not bear the right number.
- 4) When you think someone has a stolen copy, check the serial number.

Checking the number of a stolen copy catches the backyard pirate (sometimes). The pirate who makes a business of it

and zeroes the serial number still pays if you can catch him by other means (sometimes). The question is whether sometimes is enough.

Worth a try?

Subject: Re: Software Piracy

Posted by ron@brl-vgr.ARPA (Ron on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:19:01 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Mon, 9-Apr-84 09:33:07 EST

Article-I.D.: brl-vgr.3358

Posted: Mon Apr 9 09:33:07 1984

Date-Received: Tue, 10-Apr-84 07:34:22 EST

References:

Organization: Ballistics Research Lab

Lines: 3

It's easy to back up your car. Just move the lever to the "R" position.

-Ron

Subject: Webster on "Stealing" / Re: Software Piracy Posted by phipps on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:19:03 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Mon, 9-Apr-84 23:39:17 EST

Article-I.D.: fortune.3012

Posted: Mon Apr 9 23:39:17 1984

Date-Received: Wed, 11-Apr-84 01:48:59 EST

References:

Organization: Fortune Systems, Redwood City, CA

Lines: 18

Some excerpts from Webster's New Collegiate Dictionary:

steal vt: 1a: to take or appropriate without right or leave

and with intent to keep or make use of wrongfully.

appropriate: vt: 3: to take or make use of without authority or right.

Note that removal of property is not necessary for stealing to take place; removal is merely one of the possibilities, according to Webster's. I recognize that this does not have the force of law, but as it has already been pointed out, the law on one hand, and morality and ethics on the other, are not always in agreement.

-- Clay Phipps

{cbosgd decvax!decwrl!amd70 harpo hplabs!hpda ihnp4 sri-unix ucbvax!amd70} !fortune!phipps

Subject: Re: Software Piracy

Posted by young on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:19:04 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Fri, 6-Apr-84 16:37:54 EST

Article-I.D.: sri-arpa.12065

Posted: Fri Apr 6 16:37:54 1984

Date-Received: Wed, 11-Apr-84 05:30:49 EST

Lines: 9

From: Michal Young

Bravo, Alan. There are others out here who attempt to return a found item, who call it to the clerk's attention when we receive too much change, etc. It is, as the previous correspondent noted, a matter of `personal ethics,' but not quite in the sense he intended. It is a matter of having personal ethics or not.
--Michal Young, UC Irvine young@uci

Subject: re: software piracy

Posted by mar on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:19:12 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Sat, 7-Apr-84 13:02:40 EST

Article-I.D.: sri-arpa.12069

Posted: Sat Apr 7 13:02:40 1984

Date-Received: Fri, 13-Apr-84 05:56:15 EST

Lines: 19

From: Mark A. Rosenstein

A better anology than car theft is the problems the entertainment industry is going through with tape recorders for both records and movies. People have been copying records onto cassettes longer than there have been home computers, and the record industry has not found a fix for that yet. Records do have better quality than tapes, and consequently many people buy the record anyway, also to get the album cover, however that is the same as buying some software to get the instructions.

The closest thing the entertainment industry has found to a solution is taxing the purchase of blank tapes, which has been proposed but never adopted (hopefully they never will). The problem seems to be fundamental that for any read/write media that is usefull, it is possible to make illicit copies of materials distributed on that media. Perhaps personal ethics are the only thing stopping this from getting compeletly out of proportion.

-Mark

Subject: Re: Software Piracy

Posted by ignatz on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:19:14 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Wed, 11-Apr-84 16:19:26 EST

Article-I.D.: ihuxx.714

Posted: Wed Apr 11 16:19:26 1984

Date-Received: Fri, 13-Apr-84 07:17:23 EST

References:

Organization: AT&T Bell Labs, Naperville, IL

Lines: 28

Without going too much into it, please read the latest issue of Mini-Micro systems for a fascinating--and disturbing--new product that is being marketed as a software protection scheme. Basically, there

is a physical "fingerprint" actually embedded on the surface of a floppy diskette, at a random track/sector location. The protected software knows about it, and can (somehow--not explained in the article) access it. Thus, if the software is on a different disk or diskette, no go. Problems? On a hard-disk system, the device must be in the floppy drive. Also, backups aren't--you can't copy the "fingerprint", so the program on the backup disk won't run without the original. The manufacturer maintains that, since you aren't permitted to write the sector/track that the fingerprint resides on, it can't go bad (?), and the backup is adequate; but they admit that they expect to end up in court. (I don't mind the protection, but if the backup depends on the integrity of the original disk, I don't trust it.) As for the diskette always having to be in the boot drive, they say they're figuring out a way to stamp hard disks. (How about multiple proprietary, protected programs? They don't address it.)

AT&T is supposed to have purchased rights to use this scheme, according to the article.

If people want details--the vol. and issue numbers of the Mini-Micro Systems copy, company names, etc--I'll get them. (I'm at work, the 'zine is at home). Just ask.

Dave Ihnat ihuxx!ignatz

Subject: re: software piracy

Posted by RIZZI on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:19:17 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Sun, 8-Apr-84 21:38:07 EST

Article-I.D.: sri-arpa.12103

Posted: Sun Apr 8 21:38:07 1984

Date-Received: Sat, 14-Apr-84 09:16:28 EST

Lines: 13

From: Bill Rizzi

The entertainment analogy is certainly more appropriate than car theft, particularly when the work is the product of an individual or small group of "talent", engineered, produced and distributed.

One of the major differences, however is that software must be continually supported whereas once songs or films are in the can, it's mostly a

function of marketing.

Bill (RIZZI@ISIB)

-----

Subject: Re: Software Piracy

Posted by judd on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:19:24 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Fri, 13-Apr-84 19:09:43 EST

Article-I.D.: umcp-cs.6543

Posted: Fri Apr 13 19:09:43 1984

Date-Received: Sun, 15-Apr-84 08:26:17 EST

References:

Organization: Univ. of Maryland, Computer Science Dept.

Lines: 18

Many people sell there expertice in a field for \$20-\$40 in the form of text books. No one loses any money on these and yet the market is not as large as the (potential) market for good software.

Thus I believe people could make a living writing good software, providing usfull documentation and some support and charge between \$20 and \$100.

The current prices (and copy protection policies) are a dissaster and may yet destroy the home computer market.

Judd Rogers

--

Spoken: Judd Rogers

Arpa: judd.umcp-cs@CSNet-relay Uucp:...{allegra,seismo}!umcp-cs!judd

Subject: Re: Software Piracy

## Posted by phil on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:19:27 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Sun, 15-Apr-84 14:52:53 EST

Article-I.D.: amd70.4540

Posted: Sun Apr 15 14:52:53 1984

Date-Received: Sun, 15-Apr-84 23:40:15 EST

References:

Organization: AMD, Santa Clara, CA

Lines: 6

There's a difference between the authors of books and the authors of software: the former don't have to provide support. That makes software more expensive, by a non-trival amount.

--

Phil Ngai (408) 988-7777 {ucbvax,decwrl,ihnp4,allegra,intelca}!amd70!phil

Subject: RE: Software Piracy

Posted by Knisely on Mon, 20 May 2013 00:19:33 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Fri, 6-Apr-84 11:18:00 EST

Article-I.D.: sri-arpa.12060

Posted: Fri Apr 6 11:18:00 1984

Date-Received: Thu, 19-Apr-84 03:06:40 EST

Lines: 50

A number of related issues are being discussed (and flamed about, raved about, etc) here. At the risk of adding to growing pool of half-truth and self justification, here goes.

First, if I remember my business law (and its been awhile, got a lawyer hidden in this group?), then software piracy is not technically theft -- but it is usually a clear violation of the copyright laws. Now, no matter what justification you put on it, it is still ILLEAGAL to do that. If you feel the need to rationalize having done so by saying that the product was not worth the price asked, then I hope you are not deluding yourself that this made it any less illegal, and subject to

prosecution. HOWEVER, there are a number of issues concerning the copyright laws and software licenses that are not so clear cut, and deserve thoughtful discussion, and the recent chain of items on multiple CPU licensing is one of those subjects.

Software piracy is one of the hottest issues going, and I, like most, have strong, but sometimes mixed feelings about it. The idea that if the producer didn't bother to heavily protect the software, then he's being careless and its then ok to pirate it, is one that especially bothers me. I have a legitimate right as a purchaser/user of the software to be able to create archive backup copies for my own use. It has gotten to the point now that very little home software comes in unprotected form. About half of what I have bought recently is impossible for me to backup with unmodified hardware. Now, either I go out and spend a couple hundred dollars on hardware/software that will let me beat these (but the next ones?) schemes, or I'm left vulnerable to the loss of a product I paid hard earned cash for. Too many producers have adopted policies which make it difficult, expensive or impossible for me to get backup copies from them, and I find that a real problem. And the problem gets worse every day, mostly because of the attitudes and actions of a large minority of people who, for whatever reason, either see no wrong in piracy or justify it or rationalise it. Now I am not in the software-for-sale business, I am a user; a user who is increasingly maddened by this situation. Yes, I think software is grossly over-priced in some areas, but noone is forcing me to buy it. If the software isn't worth (to me) the price, then either I find a new price, different software or I do without. I think that piracy is irresponsible and is damaging the industry for all of us.

Ok folks, let's hear some discussion of how we can solve this problem. How do we preserve the rights of the user to legitimate copy capabilities, provide him a useful way to examine and try the software before purchase, how should industry determine realistic returns on investment, is there a technical/ procedural/legal solution to the pirating???

...Dick...(Knisely.DSP1 -at CISL)

Subject: Re: Software Piracy

Posted by bob on Tue, 21 May 2013 05:12:19 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Fri, 27-Apr-84 11:02:08 EDT

Article-I.D.: plus5.141

Posted: Fri Apr 27 11:02:08 1984

Date-Received: Tue, 1-May-84 08:14:11 EDT

References:

Organization: Plus Five Computer Services, St. Louis

Lines: 41

[ News from Rivendell and the Shire, and points west]

People seem to be looking for 'reasons' folks pirate software.

Well...

I worked in a two game stores (Fantasy, Science-fiction, Historical, Role-playing, Board games, Miniatures, etc.) here in St. Louis for about 4 years. Both had a computer for use by employees/employees. They both sold time on the machine for customer use (mostly game playing).

In no time at all, we were getting HORDES of people wanting to either trade games with us, or use the shop as a central (their term) 'pirate cove'. Almost all of them were late grade school/early high school, and had their own computers, were using the computer at home, or were using the computer at school. These kids were supposedly supervised and had, at the very least, tacit approval of whoever was monitoring their activities.

Almost all of the adults who stole software used the copies until they either decided they liked the product, and subsequently bought it, or or decided they didn't like the product, and erased the media.

Although this last use is still theft, I for one find it acceptable in a software market that I have found to be hostile to consumers.

I have had more than one bad experience with unfriendly/braindamaged retailers, and truly horrendous documentation/manuals. There are very few companies whose software I would buy based on publicity blurbs and a quick demo in some non-descript computer shop. This is all you are likely to get in the way of reviewing software. (Anybody out there with a contrary experience?)

I have yet to find a dealer who wants you sitting around the showroom 'playing' with the software. No dealer wants to let you take a sample home to test drive. They all seem to think, and possibly rightly so, that you only want to copy their software.

I realise this is rather rambling, >>sigh

Subject: Re: Software Piracy

Posted by azia on Tue, 21 May 2013 05:12:27 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Thu, 3-May-84 14:51:17 EDT

Article-I.D.: utzoo.3819

Posted: Thu May 3 14:51:17 1984

Date-Received: Thu, 3-May-84 14:51:17 EDT

References: , , , ,

Subject: Re: Software Piracy

Posted by awb on Tue, 21 May 2013 05:12:54 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Message-ID:

Date: Fri, 13-Apr-84 22:27:47 EDT

Article-I.D.: sri-arpa.12250

Posted: Fri Apr 13 22:27:47 1984

Date-Received: Sat, 12-May-84 02:17:19 EDT

Lines: 3

From: Austin W. Barrows (IBD/ICB)

Beautiful!